

An Update on Meniere's Disease

Published July 9th, 2015

Neil Bailie, PhD, MB, BCh, BSc, FRCSI (Gen Surg), FRCS (ORL-HNS)

In this edition of "Striking the Right Balance," Dr. Neil Bailie, otologist and neurotologist at the Royal Victoria Hospital in Belfast, Northern Ireland provides an update on Meniere's Disease.

If you would like to be more involved in all things vestibular, please sign up for the Vestibular Special Interest Group. Sign up by simply emailing JanineAllison.Verge@nshealth.ca to let us know you want to be a part. Also, check out our Face Book page for a free list of on-line vestibular resources at the CAA National Vestibular Special Interest Group page.

In 1861, the French physician Prosper Meniere described a syndrome characterised by the presence of intermittent vertigo, tinnitus and hearing loss, correctly proposing that the site of the pathology was the inner ear.¹ Subsequently, the condition was correlated histopathologically with the presence of endolymphatic hydrous^{2,3} although the etiology remains unclear and as yet there is no definitive diagnostic test. Where Meniere's symptoms arise due to a recognised cause, such as syphilis or Cogan's syndrome, the term Meniere's syndrome is used, whilst the term Meniere's disease (MD) is applied if there is no identifiable cause. At the present time, Meniere's disease is a "committee diagnosis" – one whose diagnosis relies on fulfilling criteria agreed upon by a panel of experts. The most recent guidelines were published by the American Academy of Otolaryngology Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium in 1995,⁴ (Table 1).

Table 1. The Requirements for a Diagnosis of Meniere's Disease

- Vertigo lasting > 20 minutes with horizontal or horizontal rotary nystagmus
- Audiometrically documented hearing loss on at least one occasion
- Tinnitus or Aural fullness
- Other causes excluded

Diagnostic Criteria for Meniere's disease

Certain

Definite criteria and histopathologic evidence of endolymphatic hydrops

Definite

2 or more episodes of vertigo with audiometrically documented hearing loss on at least one occasion. Tinnitus or aural fullness. Other causes excluded

Probable

As above but one definitive episode

Possible

Episodic vertigo of the Meniere type without documented hearing loss or Sensorineural hearing loss, fluctuating or fixed, with dysequilibrium but without definitive episodes. Other causes excluded

A multiplicity of treatment options exists for MD. However, the principles of treatment were succinctly described by Kerr⁵ and continue to provide a useful structure under which to consider the various treatments:

1. Suppress the vestibular system
2. Suppress the patient's emotional response
3. Wait for compensation (or natural resolution)
4. Eliminate the offending labyrinth
5. Acceptance of the problem

Meniere's disease is capricious, with a high tendency to periods of natural remission, making it difficult to determine whether symptomatic improvements are due to treatment or simply part of the natural history of the condition. It is recognised that patients with vestibular disorders often have co-existent pathological anxiety, which can negatively impact on their symptoms. Thus it is also possible that patient confidence in a particular treatment may result in an improvement in their symptoms due to a reduction in anxiety, rather than any other particular property of the intervention. An ideal treatment should prevent vertigo and relieve tinnitus and hearing loss but remains elusive at the present time.

What follows is by no means a definitive or exhaustive treatise but rather highlights some recent developments in the understanding and treatment of MD.

Pathophysiology

Whilst it remains controversial, there is an increasing recognition of an overlap between MD and migraine. It is recognised that there is a higher incidence of migraine amongst those diagnosed with MD compared to the general population.⁶ Phillips et al., have argued that, aside from basilar

migraine, migraine does not cause vertigo.⁷ However, there is an increasing belief to the contrary amongst otolaryngologists and neurologists and the Baranay Society has published guidance on the diagnosis of vestibular migraine.⁸ Recently, Foster and Breeze⁹ have proposed an intriguing model to explain the pathophysiology of a Meniere's attack and the link between migraine and MD. It has been long recognised that whilst endolymphatic hydrops is the pathological correlate of MD, hydrops is also seen at post mortem in those who did not suffer MD. The authors propose that the emergence of MD symptoms requires both endolymphatic hydrops and a trigger – that of temporary impairment of blood flow to the affected inner ear. The model proposes that endolymphatic hydrops acts as a “Starling Resistor” – an external compressor on the capillary bed within the inner ear – increasing the resistance to blood flow within the inner ear. A decrease in the upstream arterial pressure may then result in cessation of flow through the inner ear, giving rise to an ischaemia-reperfusion injury within the inner ear. It is well recognised that during a migraine attack, there is a period of cerebral vasoconstriction and this new model suggests that this, in combination with pre-existent endolymphatic hydrops, may produce a Meniere's attack. Other risk factors for cerebrovascular ischemia including smoking, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes could similarly trigger a Meniere's attack. Irrespective of whether this new model stands up to future scrutiny, my own practice to date has been that where Meniere's and migraine headache co-exist, to try to ensure optimal control of migraine headaches and any other vascular risk factors such as smoking. In my experience to date, successful control of migraine headaches has frequently coincided with a profitable improvement in vertiginous episodes.

Steroids in MD

The use of intra-tympanic steroid therapy in the treatment of MD was first described by Sakata¹⁰ and has gained increasing popularity in recent years. A variety of mechanisms have been proposed for their mechanism of action, including reduction of inflammation in the inner ear and alterations of fluid homeostasis. Proposed regimes vary from a single injection to multiple injections given up to daily for 5 days. Whilst there have been relatively few good quality studies to date, systematic reviews have suggested good control of vertigo, for perhaps up to 1 year, but without appreciable effect on the long term hearing symptoms.^{11,12} Questions remain about the optimum dosing regime. There are relatively few side effects from an intratympanic steroid injection and I generally offer this amongst first line options to patients having flare ups of vertigo despite standard treatments. My own experience to date has been that the response, whilst on the whole favourable, is variable and relatively short-lived, often with recurrence of symptoms within a year or less.

Destructive Treatments

Where all else has failed, elimination of fluctuating vestibular function can offer the patient the opportunity to reduce their vestibular symptoms through central compensation. Surgical labyrinthectomy on the affected side can cure patients of fluctuating vertigo from MD but will also “cure” them of any remaining hearing function in the operated ear. Thus, this is not an ideal treatment option where serviceable hearing still exists. Vestibular nerve section, on the other hand, offers the possibility to selectively defunction the peripheral vestibular apparatus on the affected side whilst (usually) preserving the hearing. However, the procedure has the disadvantages of a major neurosurgical approach, with a small risk of serious complications such as stroke or death. The recognition that aminoglycoside antibiotics are ototoxic led to the development of the less invasive technique of chemical labyrinthectomy.¹³ At present, gentamicin is favoured due to the fact that it is relatively more toxic to vestibular rather than the cochlear neuroepithelium. Many of the early regimes involved a “scorched earth” policy of repeated administration of gentamicin until all

of the vestibular function was ablated. Whilst such higher dose techniques have been effective in controlling vertigo, they are associated with a risk of coincident hearing loss in approximately 25 % of cases.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ It is well recognised that MD has a propensity to affect the contralateral ear and this risk increases the longer a patient has suffered the condition. The exact incidence of bilateral disease remains unclear but a large population study¹⁵ suggested bilateral disease in about 10 % in the first few years, rising to 40% at 20 years. There has been concern that complete ablation of peripheral vestibular function in one ear may result in more significant disability, should the contralateral ear subsequently become involved in MD. This, combined with the risk of worsening of the hearing loss with higher dose regimes, has led to the development of a more conservative approach. It has been recognised that low-dose gentamicin regimes can produce similar cure rates for episodic vertigo as more aggressive intervention whilst reducing the risk of hearing loss.¹⁷ It is felt that this technique may also reduce but not completely ablate vestibular function on the affected side, which may be beneficial if subsequent contralateral disease arises. The author has found that in the majority of cases, one or two intratympanic injections of gentamicin are sufficient to bring about a lasting resolution of episodic vertigo.

Endolymphatic Sac Decompression

Endolymphatic sac decompression has probably polarized the otolaryngology community more so than any other aspect of MD treatment. Following the publication of the Danish Sham study,¹⁸ the procedure was largely abandoned in Europe. However, the study had methodological flaws leading many to question the validity of the results. The procedure remains popular in the United States at the present time. To do justice to this particular argument is well beyond the scope of this article. However, being Irish, I shall invoke an anecdote on the subject involving the consumption of alcohol. After a night with this author and another otolaryngologist of Polish extraction, one of the authors of the Danish Sham study conceded that the procedure might actually be effective in controlling vertigo symptoms. However, he felt that the improvement, rather than being mediated via decompression of the endolymphatic sac, was due to the surgery inflicting a degree of insult to the vestibular system, similar to the effect of low-dose gentamicin. I suspect that heated discussion on the subject of sac decompression will continue for some years to come.

MD continues to vex and challenge physicians and, despite a plethora of publications on the subject, an effective evidence-based treatment or treatment pathway has not been defined. With increasing access to the Internet across the globe, perhaps the time is now ripe to set up worldwide studies involving sufficiently large numbers of patients to answer once and for all which of the current treatments are effective and which are not.

References

1. Ménière P. Memoire sur les lesions de l'oreille interne donnant lieu à des symptômes de congestion cerebrale apoplectiforme. Gazette Medicale de Paris 1861;16:597-601.
2. Hallpike CS, Cairns H. Observations on the pathology of Menière's syndrome. Proc Royal Soc Med 1938;31:1317-31.
3. Yamakawa K. Uber die pathologische Veranderung bei einem Menière-Kranken. Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Meeting of the The Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Society of Japan 1938;44:2310-2.
4. Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium. Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium guidelines for the diagnosis and evaluation of therapy in Menière's disease. American Academy of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Foundation, Inc. Otolaryngol

- Head Neck Surg 1995;113(3):181–5.
5. Kerr A. Scott Brown's otolaryngology 6th edition volume III. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Publishing; 1996. ISBN-978-0750619356.
 6. Radtke A, Lempert T, et al. Migraine and Meniere's disease: is there a link? Neurology 2002; 59(11):1700–4.
 7. Phillips J, Longridge N, Mallinson A and Robinson G. Migraine and vertigo: A marriage of convenience? Headache 2010;50:1362–65
 8. Lempert T1, Olesen J, Furman J, et al. Vestibular migraine: diagnostic criteria. J Vestib Res 2012;22(4):167–72.
 9. Foster C and Breeze R. The Meniere attack: An ischemia/reperfusion disorder of inner ear sensory tissues. Med Hypoth 2013;81(6):1108–15
 10. Sakata E, N. Itoh A. Itoh, et al. Comparative studies of the therapeutic effect of inner ear anesthesia and middle ear infusion of a steroid solution for Meniere's disease. Pract Otol (Kyoto) 1987;80:57–65.
 11. Phillips JS, Westerberg B. Intratympanic steroids for Meniere's disease or syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;(7):CD008514.
 12. Syed M, Ilan O, Nassar J and Rutka J. Intratympanic therapy in Meniere's syndrome or disease: up to date evidence for clinical practise. Clini Otolaryngol 2015; DOI: 10.1111/coa.12449 (Epub ahead of print).
 13. Schuknecht HF. Ablation therapy in the management of Meniere's disease. Acta Oto-Laryngologica Supplement 1957;132:1–42.
 14. Nedzelski JM, Bryce GE, Pfleiderer AG. Treatment of Meniere's disease with topical gentamicin: a preliminary report. J. Otolaryngol 1992;21:95–101.
 15. Perez N, Martin E, Garcia-Tapia R. Intratympanic gentamicin for intractable Meniere's disease. Laryngoscope 2003;113(3):456–6
 16. Flanagan S, Mukherjee P, Tonkin J. Outcomes in the use of intra-tympanic gentamicin in the treatment of Meniere's disease. J Laryngol Otol 2005;1–5.
 17. Kitahara M. Bilateral aspects of Meniere's disease. Meniere's disease with bilateral fluctuant hearing loss. Acta Oto-Laryngologica Supplement 1991;485:74–7.
 18. Thomsen J, Bretlau P, Tos M, Johnsen NJ. Placebo effect in surgery for Meniere's disease. A double-blind, placebo- controlled study on endolymphatic sac shunt surgery. Arch Otolaryngol 1981;107(5):271–7.